

On the Global Embedding of Spacetime into Singular $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$ Einstein Manifolds: Wormholes

Nikolaos I. Katzourakis*

University of Athens, Department of Mathematics

e-mail: nkatzourakis@math.uoa.gr

Abstract

In a recent work [27] it has been proved that *any n -dimensional analytic semi-Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}$ has a global analytic isometric embedding into an Einstein manifold $\mathcal{E}$ of dimension $n + d$, $d \geq 1$ ($\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$).* The possible topologies of such an $\mathcal{E}$ have been studied, calculating $\pi_m(\mathcal{E})$ which proved to split in every dimension.

In this sequel we continue proving that *given any (analytic) spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M}$, there exists an Einstein embedding manifold $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$, admitting unsmoothable differential-topological singularities (0- D points or extended $(d - k)$ - D spatial "anomalies") living into the complement $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{M}$.*

Certain consequences on MD Relativity and Brane Cosmology are briefly discussed, where these pathological anomalies of the bulk are expounded as *wormhole passages allowing distant spacetime travels*.

Keywords: Semi-Riemannian / Einstein / Ricci-Flat manifold, Campbell-Magaard local embedding, Singularities; Gravity, General Relativity, Brane Cosmology, Wormholes.

MSC Subject Classification 2000: Primary 53C20, 53C25, 32C09, 53Cxx, Secondary 83C75, 83C55, 83E15, 83F05.

*Address: Troias 6, 18541, Kaminia, Pireaus, Greece

Introduction

The quest for embedding spaces of given Riemannian manifolds once used to be a pure mathematical problem. Notwithstanding, modern mathematical & theoretical physics has drastically changed this situation, bringing into light new ideas concerning the dimensionality of spacetime and the specific problem has become common in both mathematics & physics.

According to Einstein's General Relativity (G.T.R.), the model we adopt to describe the large scale structure of the Universe is a Lorentzian 4-manifold (3 spatial & 1 time dimensions), where the metric is the solution of the field equations, correlating matter, energy, momentum and the curvature of spacetime (e.g. [9], [14]).

The idea to add 1 spatial dimension goes back to the 1920's, where Kaluza & Klein unified relativity & electromagnetism to a $5D$ vacuum relativity. The 5th dimension was considered topologically compact & infinitesimal, in order to explain its non-visibility. In more modern perspectives ([17]) it may be considered non-compact & then the universe of perception is a hypersurface embedded into a $5D$ Einstein manifold. Even more recent ideas come from Cosmology, where acc. to the so-called *Braneworld scenario*, the visible Universe is a possibly moving or rotating (mem-)brane living into an MD Bulk (e.g. [23], [24], [28], [31]) & there may exist more than 1 (colliding or not) branes (e.g. [36]).

Mathematically, these theories are modeled upon a local theorem due to Campbell (who outlined a proof in [3]) and Magaard (who proved it in his thesis [10]) (*C.-M. Theorem*) which claims that *local embedding of any analytic semi-Riemannian manifold is always possible in codimension only 1, if the embedding space is Ricci-flat*, instead of flat ([34]). This means that *4D-Relativity with matter can be embedded* (at least locally) *into a vacuum 5D-Relativity*. It was conjectured that the condition of Ricci-flatness was not essential: indeed, it has been proved that it can be replaced by the *Einstein space* curvature requirement ([21]), which means non-vanishing Cosmological Constant $\Lambda \neq 0$. Several other attempts of generalization of C.-M. theorem have appeared, though all in a local framework ([18], [19], [20], [21], [22]) and with more physical than geometrical significance ([30]).

In a recent work ([27]) we proved that global embedding of analytic semi-Riemannian manifolds into Einstein manifolds is always possible in codimension $d \geq 1$. This result, apart from the pure geometrical importance (interconnected with the Einstein spaces classification, [1]), provides the *requested existential mathematical framework* for all physical theories based on the embedding of relativity to a MD manifold: **this is the 1st positive answer to the question whether there exists a consistent mathematical global embedding of any general-relativistic spacetime to an MD vacuum Einstein Bulk with arbitrary cosmological constant $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$** . It was known that local embedding of spacetime was possible, though it was unknown if this was the case to the global level. In addition, the topology of such an Einstein space turns to be extremely simple in every codimension $d \geq 1$, due to the splitting Homotopy in every dimension ([27]).

Taking into account the natural question:

Does there exist any result providing a global framework of embedding General Relativity into (more realistic) singular MD Einstein spacetime manifolds?

in this sequel we deal with *spacetime manifolds admitting singularities* living in the complement of the Bulk that *extra (than the 4 of Einstein Relativity) spatial dimensions* generate. The subject has a vast bibliography and these singularities are usually expounded as multi-dimensional *Black Holes* or other exotic anomalies. The basic results *Th. 3.1, 3.2* (modifying *Th. 2.1*) claim that given any spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with a solution $g_{\mathcal{M}}$ of the G.T.R. equations 1.1, *there exists a manifold admitting 1 singular point in codimension $d = 1$ and countable many in codimension $d > 1$, equipped with a solution of equations 1.1 in the respective dimension with vanishing Stress-Energy-Momentum tensor: $T \equiv \mathbf{0}$* . In addition *there exists no resolution of these differential-topological singularities*, provided that the singular spacetime $\mathcal{E}^{\Sigma}$ is not even a manifold! (unless the singularities are extracted.) We also show that *the restriction the singularities of $\mathcal{E}^{\Sigma} \setminus \mathcal{M}$ to be "points", is not essential: if $d = 1$ there can exist topological "anomalies" of positive 1-measure* (lying on the image of a path) and for $d > 0$ of positive $d - k$ -measure (e.g. the diffeomorphic image of a closed ball), for $0 \leq k \leq d$.

In the last section we give an attempt of physical interpretation of these singularities, where they can be expounded as *wormholes in spacetime connecting distant point-events of some MD vacuum Relativity lying into the complement $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{M}$ of the Bulk.*

Notations: The term manifold denotes a paracompact, Hausdorff, connected smooth manifold of finite dimensions, equipped with a semi-Riemannian (indefinite of arbitrary signature) metric structure.

1. Preliminaries

In this section we collect for the readers convenience some fundamental notions retrieved from the references that will be employed in the rest of the paper.

Definition 1.1. The *G.T.R. field equations* on a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}; \nabla, g)$ in presence of *Cosmological Constant* $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ are:

$$G \equiv Ric^\nabla(g) - \frac{1}{2}R^{(n)}g = kT - \Lambda g$$

where $Ric, g, T \in \Gamma(T^*\mathcal{M} \otimes T^*\mathcal{M})$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, G is the *Einstein tensor of Curvature* and $R^{(n)}$ is the *scalar Curvature*, defined as the double contraction with the metric. T is called the *Stress-Energy-Momentum tensor*.

Definition 1.2. A semi-Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}; \nabla^{\mathcal{M}}, g_{\mathcal{M}})$ is said to be an *Einstein space* if there exists a $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$Ric^{\nabla^{\mathcal{M}}}(g_{\mathcal{M}}) = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} g_{\mathcal{M}}$$

That is, its metric is a solution of the previous equations with $T = 0$.
If $\Lambda = 0$ the manifold is said to be *Ricci-flat*.

The following has been originally retrieved from reference [21] and used also in [27], as the local analogue of the basic result.

Theorem 1.3. (*Campbell-Magaard-Dahia-Romero local embedding into Einstein Spaces*)

Let $(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}, g_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a semi-Riemannian analytic manifold and

$$g|_U = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j \in \Gamma_{\alpha_0}(T^*\mathcal{M} \bigotimes T^*\mathcal{M})$$

a local analytic at $0 \equiv \phi(p) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ presentation of its metric, with respect to $(U, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Then, there exists a local isometric embedding (analytic at $\phi(p)$) of U into some (coordinated) semi-Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{N}^{(n+1)}; g_{\mathcal{N}}, \nabla^{\mathcal{N}})$:

$$\varepsilon : U \longrightarrow \varepsilon(U) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$$

which is an Einstein space in the local embedding domain:

$$Ric^{\nabla^{\varepsilon(U)}}(g_{\mathcal{N}}|_{\varepsilon(U)}) = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-1} g_{\mathcal{N}}|_{\varepsilon(U)} \in \Gamma(T^*\varepsilon(U) \bigotimes T^*\varepsilon(U))$$

that is

$$R_{AB} dx^A \otimes dx^B = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-1} g_{AB} dx^A \otimes dx^B$$

Setting $\Lambda = 0$, *Th.* 1.3 reduces to the Campbell-Magaard *Theorem* of embedding into Ricci-flat spaces ([10],[27], etc).

The following can be easily deduced from *Th.* 1.3 and the Criterion of local isometric embeddings (see [10], [21], [27]):

Corollary 1.4. *Let $(U, \chi = \{x^A\}_{1 \leq A \leq n+1})$ be a patch of an analytic $(n+1)$ -manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with local metric of the diagonal form $(g_{a(n+1)} \equiv 0)$:*

$$g_U = g_{ab} dx^a \otimes dx^b + \psi dx^{n+1} \otimes dx^{n+1}$$

Then, if the functions g_{ab} are analytic at $0 \in \chi(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, there exists an analytic at 0 function

$$\psi \in C_{\alpha_0}^\infty(U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$$

such that the coordinated domain U to be a Einstein space, with respect to g_U and the respective Levi-Civita metric connection ∇^U .

2. Global Embedding into Einstein Manifolds in $Codim = 1$.

The manifolds we employ are considered real analytic. Notwithstanding, it follows from results of Whitney [37] and Grauert [25] that this is not restrictive, provided that any C^k or smooth (C^∞) manifold has a C^k (resp. C^∞) diffeomorphism with an analytic one, that is, it *carries a unique compatible real analytic structure*. See also [12].

(This Section is a replica of the respective of [27] because Th. 2.1 is a *sine que non* part of the proof of Th. 3.1 of Section 3.)

Theorem 2.1. (*Global Isometric Analytic Embedding into Einstein manifolds in codimension 1*)

Any n -dimensional real analytic semi-Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M}; \nabla^{\mathcal{M}}, g_{\mathcal{M}})$ has a global isometric analytic embedding into an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Einstein manifold $(\mathcal{E}; \nabla^{\mathcal{E}}, g_{\mathcal{E}})$ satisfying:

$$Ric^{\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}}(g_{\mathcal{E}}) = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-1} g_{\mathcal{E}}$$

for any $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.2. It is obvious that if a manifold can be embedded into an Einstein space as a hypersurface, repeated applications of *Theorem 2.1* imply the weaker condition to be *embedable in any codimension greater than 1*.

Proof. Step 1: Construction of the Bulk $\mathcal{E}$ that contains $\mathcal{M}$ and the bundle structure over $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an abstract analytic curve (1-dimensional manifold). We define the (trivial) fibre bundles:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{E} := \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{F} & \\ \swarrow pr_{\mathcal{F}} & & \searrow \pi := pr_{\mathcal{M}} \\ \mathcal{F} & & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

Equivalently, we can consider $\mathcal{E}$ as the disjoint union of manifolds $\{p\} \times \mathcal{F}$ parameterized by the points of $\mathcal{M}$:

$$\mathcal{E} := \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \{p\} \times \mathcal{F} \quad (2.1)$$

This construction gives a Bulk $\mathcal{E}$ (the total space of the trivial bundle π) that contains as a submanifold the given $\mathcal{M}$ (the inclusion map is an embedding).

The local (coordinated) trivializations of π have the form:

$$\pi^{-1}(U)|_V \xrightarrow[id]{\cong} U \times (\mathcal{F}|_V) \equiv W \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

where $(U; \{x^a\}_{1 \leq a \leq n}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$, $(V; y) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$, the atlases of differential structure of $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$.

Topologically, $\mathcal{E}$ is paracompact Hausdorff as a Cartesian product of manifolds that enjoy the same property. This does not hold for general topological spaces (see e.g. [11]), but provided that every (paracompact Hausdorff) analytic n -manifold has an analytic embedding (immersion and homeomorphism onto its image) as a closed subset into $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ([2], [12], etc.), the product $\mathcal{M}^n \times \mathcal{N}^r$ has an embedding into $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2r}$

$$\mathcal{M}^n \times \mathcal{N}^r \xrightarrow{\cong} \Psi(\mathcal{M}^n) \times \Phi(\mathcal{N}^r) \equiv (\Psi \times \Phi)(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n+2r}$$

as a closed subspace. Every closed subspace of a paracompact space is paracompact and this proves that $\mathcal{E}$ is as well. The Hausdorff property of $\mathcal{E}$ comes from the fact that Cartesian product of Hausdorff spaces is Hausdorff.

Step 2: The topological covers $\mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{B}}$ & $\mathcal{Q}$ of $\mathcal{E}$.

Paracompactness & product structure of $\mathcal{E}$ imply that there exist natural covers constituted of product charts of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$ & $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$:

If $p \in \mathcal{E}$, choose a $(U; x^1, \dots, x^n)$ at $\pi(p)$ and a (V, y) at $pr_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$. Thus, the product

$$(W; \{x^A\}_{1 \leq A \leq n+1}) \equiv \{(U \times V; \{x^a\}_{1 \leq a \leq n} \times y) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

is a patch at p .

At each $p \in \mathcal{E}$ choose $N + 1$ distinct patches $(W_{i_a}, \chi_{(i_a)})$, $0 \leq a \leq N$. The number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ will be specified later as will. Such arbitrarily but finite many patches with different coordinate functions can be easily illustrated to exist on $\mathcal{M}$ & $\mathcal{F}$: The U -patches of $\mathcal{M}$ can be chosen distinct with "geodesical-coordinates" arguments (diagonalizing $g_{\mathcal{M}}|_U$ in different coordinates, if we choose different initial curves with respect to which we construct the geodesical orbit of the patch). The V -patches of the 1-manifold $\mathcal{F}$ can be chosen using the induced structure of an analytic embedding of $\mathcal{F}$ into some $\mathcal{G}$ of higher dimension restricted on the curve $\mathcal{F}$.

Consider the restriction of each W_{i_a} on their common intersection

$$W_{i_a} \equiv \bigcap_{b=0}^N W_{i_b}, \quad a = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

Thus, we obtain $(N + 1)$ -patches at p covering the same domain on $\mathcal{E}$, with different coordinate functions. Put N of them together to make an N -element class of patches at each $p \in \mathcal{E}$:

$$[W_i] := \{((W_{i_a}, \chi_{(i_a)})) \mid a = 1, \dots, N\}$$

Use now a Euclidean transfer to identify the distinct points $\chi_{(i_1)}(p), \dots, \chi_{(i_N)}(p)$ as the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Consider the intersection $\bigcap_{a=1}^N \chi_{(i_a)}(W_{i_a})$, which is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Within this set lives an open $(n + 1)$ -ball $\mathbb{B}(0, R_i)$ of maximum radius $R_i > 0$. Choose an $r_i < R_i$. Then

$$\mathbb{B}(0, r_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{a=1}^N \chi_{(i_a)}(W_{i_a})$$

Consider now the inversion of $\mathbb{B}(0, r_i)$ via 1 of the coordinates, say the 1st, $\chi_{(i_1)}|_{\mathbb{B}(0, r_i)}$. This implies that within each W_{i_a} lives an analytically diffeomorphic copy of the ball $\mathbb{B}(0, r_i)$:

$$\chi_{(i_1)}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i)) \subseteq W_{i_a} = \bigcap_{b=1}^N \chi_{(i_b)}(W_{i_b}), \quad a = 1, \dots, N$$

We may also define a class of N -elements of inversed balls at each $p \in \mathcal{E}$, distinguished by the different induced coordinates on each of them:

$$[\mathbb{B}_i] := \{(\chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i)), \chi_{(i_a)}|_{\chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i))}) \mid a = 1, \dots, N\}$$

Take now the remaining W_{i_0} patch at p not included in $[W_i]$ and restrict it on $\chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i))$:

$$(W_{i_0} \equiv W_{i_0}|_{\chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i))}; \chi_{(i_0)}|_{\chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i))}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

Rename it to Q_j and the coordinate functions to $y_{(j)}^1, \dots, y_{(j)}^{n+1}$:

$$(Q_j, \{y_{(j)}^A\}_{1 \leq A \leq n+1}) := (W_{i_0}|_{\chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i))}, \chi_{(i_0)}|_{\chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i))})$$

We form now 3 different topological covers of $\mathcal{E}$, as follows:

Consider the above $(Q_j, \{y_{(j)}^A\}_{1 \leq A \leq n+1})$ patch at each $p \in \mathcal{E}$ & refine to a locally finite cover:

$$\mathcal{Q} := \{(Q_j, \{y_{(j)}^A\}_{1 \leq A \leq n+1}) \mid j \in I\}$$

Dimension theory (see e.g. [11] for an elementary introduction) implies that the *Lebesgue topological dimension* $td(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{N}$ of the paracompact manifold $\mathcal{E}$ equals its geometrical dimension

$$td(\mathcal{E}) = \dim(\mathcal{E}) = n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$$

This means that no point of $\mathcal{E}$ lies in more than $(n + 1) + 1 = n + 2$ elements of the refinement $\mathcal{Q}$.

The previous cover implies the existence of 2 more locally finite covers, which we obtain considering the classes $[\mathbb{B}_i]$ and $[W_i]$ at each $p \in \mathcal{E}$, refined by the way prescribed by the construction of $\mathcal{Q}$:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{B}} := \{[\mathbb{B}_i] \mid i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times I\} \equiv$$

$$\equiv \{(\chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i)), \chi_{(i_a)}|_{\chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i))}) \mid a = 1, \dots, N \mid i_a \in I\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W} := \{[W_i] \mid i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times I\} \equiv \{(W_{i_a}; \chi_{(i_a)}) \mid a = 1, \dots, N \mid i_a \in I\}$$

We note that if some $p \in \mathcal{E}$ lies in 1 chart $(Q_j, \{y_{(j)}^A\}_{1 \leq A \leq n+1}) \in \mathcal{Q}$, then it lies in $N - W_{i_a}$'s and $N - \chi_{(i_a)}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i))$'s of $\mathcal{W}$ & $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{B}}$ respectively.

Step 3: The family $\{f_{i_a}\}_{i_a \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times I}$ of smooth "Bell" functions on $\mathcal{E}$.

In the sequel we shall need to use the partition functions' tool to obtain a global metric structure. Deviating from the standard approach, we do not introduce a partition subordinate to a locally finite cover, but instead we present constructively a family of functions with similar properties (except for the normalization $\sum f_i = 1$).

This novelty owes to the fact that we will need to use the explicit form of these functions and their crucial property of being real analytic within the interior of their supports.

The "bell functions" characterization owes to the form of their graph.

Statement 2.3. *There exists a family $\{f_{i_a}\}_{i_a \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times I}$ of C^∞ non-negative functions on $\mathcal{E}$, with properties:*

$$f_{i_a} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cap [0, +\infty)) \quad \& \quad \text{supp}(f_{i_a}) \subseteq W_{i_a}, \quad \forall [W_i] \in \mathcal{W}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{E}, \quad \sum_{i_a \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times I} f_{i_a}(p) > 0$$

and the f_{i_a} 's are real analytic within the set they are strictly positive

$$\{p \in \mathcal{E} / f_{i_a}(p) > 0\} \equiv \{f_{i_a} > 0\}$$

(which is open & coincides with $\text{int}(\text{supp}(f_{i_a})) \equiv \widehat{\text{supp}(f_{i_a})}^\circ$):

$$f_{i_a}|_{\{f_{i_a} > 0\}} = f_{i_a}|_{\widehat{\text{supp}(f_{i_a})}^\circ} \in C_\alpha^\infty(\mathcal{E} \cap \{f_{i_a} > 0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$$

Remark 2.4. The normalization condition $\sum_{i_a \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times I} f_{i_a}(p) = 1$ is not necessary to be requested, since we do not employ convex functional combinations in the sequel. We are only interested in the existence of a collection of smooth functions, locally analytic within their supports.

Proof. Consider the C^∞ non-negative function on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$:

$$f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty))$$

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\|x\|^2 - r^2}\right), & \text{for } \|x\| < r \\ 0, & \text{for } \|x\| \geq r. \end{cases}$$

($r > 0$) which satisfies:

$$\{f > 0\} \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} / f(x) > 0\} = \mathbb{B}(0, r) \subsetneq \text{supp}(f)$$

and

$$\text{supp}(f) = \overline{\mathbb{B}(0, r)}$$

and is real analytic in the interior of its support as composition of analytic functions enjoying the same property:

$$f|_{\{f>0\}} = f|_{\mathbb{B}(0, r)} \in C^\infty_\alpha(\mathbb{B}(0, r) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$$

(the *Analytic Continuation Principle* implies that f can never be analytic out of $\mathbb{B}(0, r)$, provided that

$$(D^{a_1+\dots+a_s} f)(x) |_{x \rightarrow \partial(\mathbb{B}(0, r))} = 0, \quad \forall a = (a_1, \dots, a_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$$

see also [12].)

Recall now the cover $\mathcal{W}$ of W -patches and its refinement $\mathcal{W}_\mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{W}$ of inversed images of open balls and define a family of smooth real functions on (the analytic manifold) $\mathcal{E}$ as:

$$f_{i_a} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}), \quad \forall i_a \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times I :$$

$$p \longmapsto f_{i_a}(p) := \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\|\chi_{i_1}(p)\|^2 - r_i^2}\right), & \forall p \in \chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i)) \subseteq W_{i_a} \\ 0, & \forall p \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r_i)). \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is real analytic, we have

$$f_{i_a}|_{\{f_{i_a}>0\}} = f_{i_a}|_{\chi_{i_a}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0,r_i))} \in C_\alpha^\infty(\chi_{i_a}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0,r_i))) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and the supports of the f_{i_a} 's (as well as their interior) form a locally finite cover of $\mathcal{E}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \supp(f_{i_a}) &= \chi_{i_1}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{B}(0,r_i)}) \subseteq W_{i_a}, \\ \bigcup_{i_a \in \{1,\dots,N\} \times I} \supp(f_{i_a}) &= \bigcup_{i_a \in \{1,\dots,N\} \times I} \{f_{i_a} > 0\} = \mathcal{E} \quad \& \\ \sum_{i_a \in \{1,\dots,N\} \times I} f_{i_a} &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

and this ends the proof of the *Statement*. $\square$

Step 4: The global smooth & locally analytic metric structure $g_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{E}$.

We can define a global section $g_{\mathcal{E}} \in \Gamma(T^*\mathcal{E} \otimes T^*\mathcal{E})$ as the product metric on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{F}$: let $\psi^{(i_a)} \in C_\alpha^\infty(W_{i_a} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$ be any analytic function on W_{i_a} (the $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s will be specified later so that this metric to give an Einstein space geometry, using the local 1.3 *Theorem*). We define

$$g_{\mathcal{E}} := g_{\mathcal{M}} \circ (d\pi \times d\pi) + \left(\sum_{\substack{i_a \in I \\ 1 \leq a \leq N}} f_{i_a} \psi^{(i_a)} dx_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \otimes dx_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \right) \circ (dpr_{\mathcal{F}} \times dpr_{\mathcal{F}})$$

Introducing the functions:

$$\check{\psi}^{(i_a)} := f_{i_a} \psi^{(i_a)} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$$

(each f_{i_a} given by (2.2)) the metric on $\mathcal{E}$ can be rewritten as

$$g_{\mathcal{E}} := g_{\mathcal{M}} \circ (d\pi \times d\pi) + \left(\sum_{\substack{i_a \in I \\ 1 \leq a \leq N}} \check{\psi}^{(i_a)} dx_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \otimes dx_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \right) \circ (dpr_{\mathcal{F}} \times dpr_{\mathcal{F}}) \quad (2.3)$$

Recall now the *Fundamental Theorem of Riemannian Geometry* and equip $(\mathcal{E}, g_{\mathcal{E}})$ with the respective unique Levi-Civita linear connection which we denote by $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$. Thus, the triple

$$(\mathcal{E} ; \nabla^{\mathcal{E}}, g_{\mathcal{E}})$$

is an analytic semi-Riemannian manifold with smooth (& locally analytic) metric and the respective metric connection.

If $(D ; z^A) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is any chart of $\mathcal{E}$ (not necessarily product), the presentation $g_{\mathcal{E}}$ in the local coordinates of this patch is:

$$g_{\mathcal{E}}|_D = \{g_{\mathcal{M}}|_D(\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial z^A}, \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial z^B}) + \left(\sum_{\substack{i_a \in I \\ 1 \leq a \leq N}} \check{\psi}^{(i_a)} \frac{\partial(x_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \circ pr_{\mathcal{F}})}{\partial z^A} \frac{\partial(x_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \circ pr_{\mathcal{F}})}{\partial z^B} \right)|_D\} dz^A \otimes dz^B$$

having used that

$$d\pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^A}) = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial z^A}$$

and

$$dx_{(i_a)}^{n+1}(dpr_{\mathcal{F}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^A})) = d(x_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \circ pr_{\mathcal{F}})(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^A}) = \frac{\partial(x_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \circ pr_{\mathcal{F}})}{\partial z^A}$$

Taking $D = Q_j$, the form of the product patch implies the following diagonalized form of $g_{\mathcal{E}}|_{Q_j}$ in the respective coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathcal{E}}|_{Q_j} &= \{g_{\mathcal{M}}(\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial y_{(j)}^a}, \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial y_{(j)}^b})|_{Q_j}\} dy_{(j)}^a \otimes dy_{(j)}^b + \\ &+ \left\{ \left(\sum_{\substack{i_a \in I \\ 1 \leq a \leq N}} \check{\psi}^{(i_a)} \left(\frac{\partial(x_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \circ pr_{\mathcal{F}})}{\partial y_{(j)}^{n+1}} \right)^2 \right) |_{Q_j} \right\} dy_{(j)}^{n+1} \otimes dy_{(j)}^{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq n \end{aligned}$$

for all Q_j 's in $\mathcal{Q}$. Thus, the components of $g_{\mathcal{E}}|_{Q_j}$ are

$$[g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{ab} := g_{\mathcal{M}}(\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial y_{(j)}^a}, \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial y_{(j)}^b})|_{Q_j}, \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq n \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$[g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{(n+1)(n+1)} := \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i_a \in I \\ 1 \leq a \leq N}} \psi^{(i_a)} \left(\frac{\partial(x_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \circ pr_{\mathcal{F}})}{\partial y_{(j)}^{n+1}} \right)^2 \right\} |_{Q_j} \quad (2.5)$$

and they are all analytic functions, under the assumption for the $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s:

$$[g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{(n+1)(n+1)} \quad \& \quad [g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{ab} \in C_{\alpha}^{\infty}(Q_j \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$$

Step 5: The requested Curvature condition on $\mathcal{E}$ expressed in terms of local coordinates of the cover $\mathcal{Q}$ using the local results.

The condition $\mathcal{E}$ to be an $n + 1$ -dimensional Einstein manifold, with respect to the semi-Riemannian structure $(\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}, g_{\mathcal{E}})$ (Def. 1.2)

$$Ric^{\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}}(g_{\mathcal{E}}) = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-1} g_{\mathcal{E}} \quad (2.6)$$

is equivalent to the condition this equation to hold within all the local coordinated open submanifolds of a cover of $\mathcal{E}$, say $\mathcal{Q}$:

$$Ric^{\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}|_{Q_j}}(g_{\mathcal{E}}|_{Q_j}) = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-1} (g_{\mathcal{E}}|_{Q_j}) \quad , \quad \forall \quad j \in I \quad (2.7)$$

and in the local coordinates $\{y_{(j)}^A\}_{1 \leq A \leq n+1}$ of each Q_j the previous relation reads

$$[R_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{AB} dy_{(j)}^A \otimes dy_{(j)}^B = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-1} [g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{AB} dy_{(j)}^A \otimes dy_{(j)}^B \quad , \quad \forall \quad j \in I$$

where $[g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{AB}$ are given by (2.4) & (2.5) and $[R_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{AB}$ are the components of the Ricci tensor of curvature, calculated by (due to the vanishing *Torsion* of $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$):

$$[R_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{AB} = \partial_M \Gamma_{(j)AB}^M + \Gamma_{(j)AB}^M \Gamma_{(j)MN}^N - \partial_B \Gamma_{(j)AM}^M - \Gamma_{(j)AN}^M \Gamma_{(j)MB}^N$$

and

$$Ric^{\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}|_{Q_j}}(g_{\mathcal{E}}|_{Q_j}) = Ric^{\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}|_{Q_j}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{(j)}^A}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{(j)}^B}\right) dy_{(j)}^A \otimes dy_{(j)}^B \equiv [R_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{AB} dy_{(j)}^A \otimes dy_{(j)}^B$$

where the Christoffel symbols are given by

$$\Gamma_{(j)AB}^C = \frac{1}{2} [g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]^{CM} (-\partial_M([g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{AB}) + \partial_A([g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{BM}) + \partial_B([g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{MA}))$$

Corollary 1.4 implies that, since the functions $[g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{ab}$ of (2.4) are analytic, there do exist analytic functions $[g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{(n+1)(n+1)}$, $\forall j \in I$ (at 1 point at least, say $0 \equiv y_{(j)}^A(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$) such that equation (2.7) to hold on every Q_j .

Remark 2.5. *The objective pursued to the rest of the proof is to show that $g_{\mathcal{E}}$ can indeed be fixed through the undetermined $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s living in the W_{i_a} 's of the underlying cover $\mathcal{W}$, so that the local embeddings on every Q_j of $\mathcal{Q}$ to hold, and therefore the whole $\mathcal{E}$ to be an Einstein manifold.*

Step 6: Fixing the Einstein space metric $g_{\mathcal{E}}$ through the unspecified functions $\psi^{(i_a)} \in C_{\alpha}^{\infty}(W_{i_a} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$.

The topological dimensionality arguments of *Step 2* imply that no point of $\mathcal{E}$ lies in more than $n + 2$ charts $(Q_j, \{y_{(j)}^A\}_{1 \leq A \leq n+1})$ of $\mathcal{Q}$.

On every Q_j relation (2.5) gives an equation that will be used to determine the $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s in terms of the $[g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{(n+1)(n+1)}$'s.

$$\underbrace{[g_{\mathcal{E}}^{(j)}]_{(n+1)(n+1)}}_{\Phi_{(j)}} = \underbrace{\left\{ \sum_{\substack{i_a \in I \\ 1 \leq a \leq N}} f_{i_a} \left(\frac{\partial(x_{(i_a)}^{n+1} \circ pr_{\mathcal{F}})}{\partial y_{(j)}^{n+1}} \right)^2 \right\}}_{\Theta_{(j)}^{(i_a)}}|_{Q_j} \psi^{(i_a)}$$

This is a linear functional equation with analytic coefficients:

$$\Phi_{(j)} = \sum_{i_a \in I} \{ \Theta_{(j)}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j)}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j)}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \quad (2.8)$$

and the $\psi^{(i_a)}$ -variables are all linearly independent, since the coordinates of the patches are chosen distinct, although in the coefficients there exist the same f_{i_a} 's. Notwithstanding, these functions are distinguished by the different local presentations on the patches.

Consider the domain of $\mathcal{E}$ that appear the maximum $n + 2$ finite-many overlaps of the Q_j 's of the $\mathcal{Q}$ -cover:

$$\bigcap_{r=1}^{n+2} Q_{j_r} = Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$$

If we wish eq. (2.7) to hold on this intersection, we must request the equations (2.8) to hold on all the Q_j 's simultaneously. This gives rise to a system of linear (in the $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s) equations

$$(\Sigma 1) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_{(j_1)} = \sum_{i_a=1}^{n+2} \{\Theta_{(j_1)}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_1)}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_1)}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)}\} \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{(j_{n+2})} = \sum_{i_a=1}^{n+2} \{\Theta_{(j_{n+2})}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_{n+2})}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_{n+2})}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)}\} \end{cases}$$

which admits infinite N -tuples of solutions $\psi^{(i_a)}$ on each $Q_j \cap \bigcap_{r'=1}^{n+2} Q_{j_{r'}}$, if we set $N \geq 2$.

We wish to extend the $\psi^{(i_a)}$ -solutions outside of $\bigcap_{r=1}^{n+2} Q_{j_r}$ on $\bigcup_{r=1}^{n+2} Q_{j_r}$ and finally on the whole $\mathcal{E}$. We follow a stepwise procedure, to illustrate the method.

First, we extend the $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s on the sets

$$Q_{j_2} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}}, \quad \dots, \quad Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_s}} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}}, \quad \dots,$$

$$Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+1}}$$

where the $(\widehat{})$ -notation indicates that the Q_{j_s} is omitted. These are

$$\binom{n+2}{n+1} = \frac{(n+1)! (n+2)}{(n+1)!} = n+2$$

many sets. If we wish the $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s to be definable on these, we must additionally to $(\Sigma 1)$ request the following equations to hold (setting any sufficient value $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that to have more $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s than equations)

$$(\Sigma 2) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_{(j_1)} = \sum_{\substack{i_a=1 \\ [\mathbb{B}_i] \not\subseteq Q_{j_s}}}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_1)}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_1)}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_1)}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{(j_{s-1})} = \sum_{\substack{i_a=1 \\ [\mathbb{B}_i] \not\subseteq Q_{j_s}}}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_{s-1})}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_{s-1})}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_{s-1})}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \\ \Phi_{(j_{s+1})} = \sum_{\substack{i_a=1 \\ [\mathbb{B}_i] \not\subseteq Q_{j_s}}}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_{s+1})}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_{s+1})}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_{s+1})}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{(j_{n+2})} = \sum_{\substack{i_a=1 \\ [\mathbb{B}_i] \not\subseteq Q_{j_s}}}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_{n+2})}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_{n+2})}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_{n+2})}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \end{array} \right.$$

The extension of solutions $\psi^{(i_a)}$ from $\bigcap_{r=1}^{n+2} Q_{j_r}$ to $Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_s}} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}}$ ($1 \leq s \leq n+2$) has the following meaning:

Requesting the system $(\Sigma 1)$ to hold on $\bigcap_{r=1}^{n+2} Q_{j_r}$, nothing guarantees that there exist solutions $\psi^{(i_a)}$ given by $(\Sigma 2)$ on the $Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_s}} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}}$'s, which, if restricted, coincide with that of $\bigcap_{r=1}^{n+2} Q_{j_r}$. Consequently, requesting both $(\Sigma 1)$ & $(\Sigma 2)$ to hold on $\bigcap_{r=1}^{n+2} Q_{j_r}$ and merely $(\Sigma 2)$ on $Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_s}} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}}$, we obtain the requested compatibility of solutions.

Continuing, we can extend the $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s on the $\binom{n+1}{n} = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$ sets

$$Q_{j_3} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}} , \dots ,$$

$$Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_s}} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_k}} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}} , \dots$$

$$\dots , Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_n}$$

where $1 \leq s < k \leq n+2$, requesting the additional equations

$$(\Sigma 3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_{(j_r)} = \sum_{i_a=1}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \\ [\mathbb{B}_i] \not\subseteq Q_{j_s} \cup Q_{j_k} \end{array} \right. \quad 1 \leq r \leq n+2, \quad r \neq s, k$$

Continuing inductively, we can determine the $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s on $Q_{j_1}, \dots, Q_{j_{n+2}}$, and thus on the whole $Q_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup Q_{j_{n+2}}$.

Hence, the final set of equations we request to hold so that to extend the solutions as previously described is

$$(\Sigma 1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_{(j_r)} = \sum_{i_a=1}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \\ 1 \leq r \leq n+2 \end{array} \right.$$

on $Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}}$

$$(\Sigma 2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_{(j_r)} = \sum_{i_a=1}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \\ [\mathbb{B}_i] \not\subseteq Q_{j_s} \end{array} \right. \quad 1 \leq r \neq s \leq n+2$$

on $Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_s}} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}}$

$$(\Sigma 3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_{(j_r)} = \sum_{i_a=1}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \\ [\mathbb{B}_i] \not\subseteq Q_{j_s} \cup Q_{j_k} \end{array} \right. \quad 1 \leq r \leq n+2, \quad r \neq s, k$$

on $Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_s}} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_k}} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}}$

$\vdots$
 $\vdots$

$$(\Sigma(n+2)) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_{(j_r)} = \sum_{i_a=1}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_r)}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \} \\ [\mathbb{B}_i] \not\subseteq Q_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{Q_{j_r}} \cap \dots \cap Q_{j_{n+2}} \end{array} \right. \quad r = 1, \dots, n+2$$

on $Q_{j_1}, \dots, Q_{j_{n+2}}$.

The total number of all the equations $(\Sigma 1), (\Sigma 2), \dots, (\Sigma(n+2))$ is $M = \binom{n+2}{n+2} + \binom{n+2}{n+1} + \dots + \binom{n+2}{1}$, that is

$$M = 2n + 5 + \sum_{t=2}^n \frac{(n+3-t) \cdots (n+2)}{t!}$$

Thus, setting

$$N \equiv M + 1$$

we obtain more $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s than equations, thus, there do exist solutions as desired so that the curvature condition given by *eq.* (2.7) to hold on the union $Q_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup Q_{j_{n+2}}$.

We claim that in fact we have specified the metric $g_{\mathcal{E}}$ through the $\psi^{(i_a)}$'s on the whole $\mathcal{E}$. If $\bigcup Q_j, \bigcup Q_{j'}$ are 2 unions of Q_j 's overlapping on at least 1 Q_{j_0} , then the metrics $g_{\mathcal{E}}|_{\bigcup Q_j}, g_{\mathcal{E}}|_{\bigcup Q_{j'}}$ coincide on $\bigcup Q_{j'} \cap \bigcup Q_j \subseteq Q_{j_0}$, provided that we have requested the equation

$$\Phi_{(j_0)} = \sum_{\substack{i_a=1 \\ [\mathbb{B}_i] \subseteq Q_{j_0}}}^{n+2} \{ \Theta_{(j_0)}^{(i_1)} \psi^{(i_1)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_0)}^{(i_a)} \psi^{(i_a)} + \dots + \Theta_{(j_0)}^{(i_N)} \psi^{(i_N)} \}$$

to hold on both systems solved on $\bigcup Q_j$ and $\bigcup Q_{j'}$.

Step 7: The isometry & C_{α}^{∞} embedding conditions.

Having shown that $g_{\mathcal{E}}$ given by (2.3) does exist so that relation (2.6) to hold on $\mathcal{E}$, the isometry condition holds trivially by restriction of the product metric on the submanifold $\mathcal{M}$:

$$g_{\mathcal{E}}|_{\mathcal{M}} = g_{\mathcal{M}} \circ (d\pi \times d\pi) \equiv g_{\mathcal{M}} \in \Gamma(T^*\mathcal{M} \otimes T^*\mathcal{M})$$

if any $\xi, \eta \in T\mathcal{M}$ are applied. The Riemannian connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ restricted on (the open in the induced subspace topology) $\mathcal{M}$ reduces to the same $\nabla^{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\mathcal{M}$

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}|_{\mathcal{M}} = \nabla^{\mathcal{M}}$$

which is the Riemannian connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $g_{\mathcal{M}}$. The trivial C_{α}^{∞} -analyticity of the inclusion map $i : \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ clearly completes the proof. $\square$

3. Embedding into Singular $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$ Einstein spaces.

The basic embedding result 2.1 of *Section 2* states that given any analytic semi-Riemannian manifold, there exists an Einstein manifold having as hypersurface (or generally submanifold) the given. This manifold is smooth (& analytic) without any singular (in any sense) points.

Notwithstanding, this is not necessarily the case: the complement of the Bulk may admit singular points of the differential & topological structure. These singularities are *by construction pathological* and there does **not** exist *any resolution* of them: they are obtained by certain topological identifications of distinct point and the obtain Bulk is not even a manifold.

Theorem 3.1. (*Embedding into Einstein manifold with unsmoothable differential-topological singularities of zero measure*)

Given a semi-Riemannian analytic manifold $\mathcal{M}$, there exist:

- (I) a topological space $(\mathcal{E}^\Sigma, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^\Sigma})$
- (II) an analytic manifold $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}})$, with $\dim(\mathcal{E}) = \dim(\mathcal{M}) + d$, $d \geq 1$
- (III) a countable set of points $\mathbb{F}^\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\Sigma$, satisfying:

$$\mathbb{F}^\Sigma = \begin{cases} \{f^\sigma\}, & \text{if } d = 1 \\ \{f_{(1)}^\sigma, f_{(2)}^\sigma, \dots\} \cong \mathbb{N}, & \text{if } d \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

such that the following topological homeomorphism holds (under inclusion to a subspace)

$$(\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathbb{F}^\Sigma, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^\Sigma}|_{\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathbb{F}^\Sigma}) \xrightarrow[\subset]{\cong} (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}})$$

and $\mathcal{E}$ is an Einstein space of global isometric analytic embedding for $\mathcal{M}$.

In addition, $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$ is **not** even a topological manifold and there does not exists any smoothing resolution of the singularities $\mathbb{F}^\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\Sigma$.

$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}}$ denotes the (induced from the differential structure) topology of $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Let $\check{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{F}$ be a Cartesian product of the given semi-Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with an analytic manifold $\mathcal{F}$, $\dim(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ satisfying the property:

”deleting any countable many points $\mathbb{F}^\Sigma$ of an m -dimensional and a single point $\{f^\sigma\}$ from an 1-dimensional $\mathcal{F}$, the respective complements $\mathcal{F}^{(d)} \setminus \mathbb{F}^\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(1)} \setminus \{f^\sigma\}$ are connected”

(If $\dim(\mathcal{F}) = 1$, we can choose $\mathcal{F} \cong S^1$ and if $\dim(\mathcal{F}) = d > 1$, $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{R}^d$.)

Recalling 2.1, we rewrite $\check{\mathcal{E}}$ as the disjoint union of manifolds

$$\check{\mathcal{E}} = \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \{p\} \times \mathcal{F}$$

Choose a countable set of points

$$\mathbb{F}^\Sigma := \{f_{(1)}^\sigma, f_{(2)}^\sigma, \dots\} \cong \mathbb{N}$$

of $\mathcal{F}$ (if $\dim(\mathcal{F}) > 1$) or a single point $\{f^\sigma\}$ (if $\dim(\mathcal{F}) = 1$). We will prove the general case for $\mathbb{F}^\Sigma$ reducing obviously to the trivial case when $d = 1$.

The previous choice implies a choice of points for each $\{q\} \times \mathcal{F}$ of $\check{\mathcal{E}}$:

$$\{q\} \times \mathbb{F}^\Sigma = \{q\} \times \{f_{(m)}^\sigma\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} = \{(q, f_{(m)}^\sigma)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \equiv \{f_{q(m)}^\sigma\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \cong \mathbb{N}$$

denoted as

$$\mathbb{F}_p^\Sigma := \{f_{p(m)}^\sigma\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \{p\} \times \mathcal{F}, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}$$

Considering the (disjoint) union of all such points in $\check{\mathcal{E}}$

$$\bigsqcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{F}_p^\Sigma \xrightarrow{\subset} \check{\mathcal{E}} = \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \{p\} \times \mathcal{F}$$

we may get a more subtle expression of them, as:

$$\mathbb{F}_{(m)}^\Sigma := \{f_{p(m)}^\sigma\}_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \subseteq \check{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{F}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Define now a topological space $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$ as the quotient space, produced identifying for each m in $\mathbb{N}$ and $\forall p \in \mathcal{M}$, all the distinct points $\mathbb{F}_{(m)}^\Sigma$ as one $f_{(m)}^\Sigma$:

$$\mathcal{E}^\Sigma := \check{\mathcal{E}} / \bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{(m)}^\Sigma = \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \{\{p\} \times \mathcal{F}\} / \bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{(m)}^\Sigma$$

with the quotient topology, say $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^\Sigma}$, induced by the topologies $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}}$. In the case of 1-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{F}$, this is exactly the wedge sum of the manifolds $\{p\} \times \mathcal{F}$ with respect to (the 1 term sequence) $\mathbb{F}^\Sigma$ (each f_p^σ is the chosen base point of $\{p\} \times \mathcal{F}$):

$$\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \equiv \bigvee_{\mathbb{F}^\Sigma} \{\{p\} \times \mathcal{F}\} \quad (3.9)$$

Back to the general case, $\check{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{F}$ has a natural (product) analytic manifold structure. Deleting all the (countable many) distinct points $\{f_{p(m)}^\sigma\}$, $\forall p \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain an analytic connected manifold structure in the (open in the induced topology) complement:

If we set

$$\mathcal{E} := \check{\mathcal{E}} \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \{f_{p(m)}^\sigma\}$$

then

$$\mathcal{E} = \check{\mathcal{E}} \setminus \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{F}_p^\Sigma = \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \{p\} \times \{\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p^\Sigma\}$$

with the obvious C_α^∞ -atlas

$$\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{A}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \big|_\mathcal{E}$$

Deleting the sequence of (identified) points $\{\mathbb{F}_{(m)}^\Sigma\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$, we obtain the differential-topological structure of an analytic manifold, $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathbb{F}^\Sigma$ *without any singularities*, since:

$$\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{(m)}^\Sigma = \{\check{\mathcal{E}} / \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{(m)}^\Sigma\} \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{(m)}^\Sigma = \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \{p\} \times \{\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p^\Sigma\} \equiv \mathcal{E}$$

which proves the analytic structure on $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{(m)}^\Sigma$. Applying now the *embedding theorem* 2.1 for this analytic manifold, we can make it an Einstein global isometric embedding manifold of $\mathcal{M}$.

The remaining task is to show that $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$ is not a manifold. To see this, suppose that taking the wedge sum of 2 distinct fibres $(\{p\} \times \mathcal{F}) \underset{f_0}{\vee} (\{q\} \times \mathcal{F})$, there exists a homeomorphism of a domain V_{f_0} of f_0 to $\mathbb{R}^{n+d}$. If this holds, then there must exist a homeomorphism

$$\phi : V \setminus \{f_0\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \phi(V) \setminus \{\phi(f_0)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+d}$$

choose an open ball $\mathbb{B}^{n+d}(0, r)$ of maximum radius living into $\phi(V_{f_0})$ and containing $\phi(f_0)$. Then, the following homeomorphism

$$V_{f_0} \cap \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{n+d}(0, r)) \setminus \{f_0\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{B}^{n+d}(0, r) \setminus \{\phi(f_0)\}$$

can not hold, since $\mathbb{B}^{n+d}(0, r) \setminus \{\phi(f_0)\}$ has 1 connected component while $\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{n+d}(0, r)) \setminus \{f_0\}$ has 2 connected components. $\square$

The mathematical mechanism of topological identification we used to produce the pathological singularities on the Bulk raises the idea that it is not really necessary these "anomalies" to be points: there may exist extended problematic domains of the complement $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{M}$. We simply identify distinct homeomorphic domains on the "fibres" $\mathcal{F}$.

This more realistic case may correspond to extended object in the Universe with positive (multi-dimensional) volume.

Theorem 3.2. (*Embedding into Einstein manifold with unsmoothable differential-topological singularities of positive $(d - k)$ -measure*)

Given a semi-Riemannian analytic manifold $\mathcal{M}$, there exist:

- (I) a topological space $(\mathcal{E}^\Sigma, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^\Sigma})$
- (II) an analytic manifold $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}})$, with $\dim(\mathcal{E}) = \dim(\mathcal{M}) + d$, $d \geq 1$
- (III) countable many closed path connected & simply connected sets $\mathbb{F}^\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\Sigma$,

satisfying:

$$\mathbb{F}^\Sigma = \begin{cases} \{f^\Sigma\} \cong [0, 1], & \text{if } d = 1 \\ \{f_{(1)}^\Sigma, f_{(2)}^\Sigma, \dots\} \cong \mathbb{N} \times \overline{\mathbb{B}^{d-k}(0, 1)}, & \text{if } d \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

($0 \leq k \leq d$) such that the following topological homeomorphism holds (under inclusion to a subspace)

$$(\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathbb{F}^\Sigma, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^\Sigma}|_{\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathbb{F}^\Sigma}) \xrightarrow[\subset]{\cong} (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}})$$

and $\mathcal{E}$ is an Einstein space of global isometric analytic embedding for $\mathcal{M}$.

In addition, $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$ is **not** a topological manifold.

Proof. The proof is a replica of the previous result. The only fact that needs to be noted is that extracting from a closed (abstract) curve a closed (image of) an

interval, (e.g. a closed arc from S^1) or a closed (image of a) $(d - k)$ -ball from $\mathcal{F}$ (choosing e.g. $\mathbb{R}^d$) for $\dim(\mathcal{F}) = d$, we obtain open submanifolds in the analytic subspace structure.

In addition, the inability of resolution of the singularities, owes to the fact that choosing from each of the $\mathcal{F}$'s a (diffeomorphic image of a) closed ball, the quotient space when they are all identified is not a manifold, by the same topological argument as in *Th.* 3.1, extracting a closed inversed image of ball instead of a point.

Countability of these "extended anomalies" can be easily shown, since choosing for the (diffeomorphic images of) balls, $\text{diam}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{d-k}(0, r)}) = 2r < 2$, we can embed in $\mathbb{R}^d$ countable many balls, centered at the points of $\mathbb{N}^d$. If $k \neq 0$, it suffices to reduce embedding of balls to a $d - k$ -dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$. $\square$

4. An Attempt of Physical Interpretation.

Summarizing the results obtained in this paper, we have proved that given any analytic spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with an indefinite analytic metric, there exist Einstein spaces $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$ wherein the given is globally & isometrically embedded. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a hypersurface in this Bulk, there may exist an 1-point singularity or an extended singular 1-dimensional domain, tearing and deforming the smooth spacetime. In the case of embedding codimension greater than 1, the case changes dramatically, provided that the complement of the Bulk $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{M}$ may admit infinite-many countable singularities! These pathologically problematic domains can be points, or have positive volume, of dimensionality at most that of the embedding codimension.

Suppose now that a test-particle living into the Bulk (not confined on $\mathcal{M}$), an electromagnetic wave or some other physical entity living into $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma$ enters the singularity f^Σ living into $\mathcal{E}^\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{M}$ (moving along a fibre, say $\{p\} \times \mathcal{F}$). If the entity comes out, nothing guarantees that will appear to the same fibre it entered: this will in general be some different $\{q\} \times \mathcal{F}$. Thus, the entity will have traveled in spacetime through this passage between 2 distant points of the Universe! This may

be thought of some kind of wormhole allowing spacetime travels.

Acknowledgement. *The Author is profoundly indebted to professor Lorenz Magaard at University of Honolulu, Hawaii for his sincere interest, P. Krikelis & A. Kartsaklis at University of Athens for helpful comments & technical support and Ed. Anderson for discussions on general-relativistic applications of the embedding results.*

References

- [1] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer, Berlin 1986
- [2] Glen E. Bredon, *Topology and Geometry*, Springer, 1997
- [3] J. E. Campbell, *A Course of Differential Geometry*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1923
- [4] Do Carmo, M.P., *Riemannian Geometry*, Birkhäuser, 1992
- [5] L. Pf. Eisenhart, *Riemannian Geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1964
- [6] S. Gallot, D. Hulin, J. Lafontaine, *Riemannian Geometry*, Springer-Verlag 1993, 2nd printing
- [7] O. Gron and S. Hervik, *Einstein's General Theory of Relativity* (e-book), <http://www.fys.uio.no/~sigbjorh/gravity.pdf>, 2004
- [8] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, <http://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher>, (e-book), 2000
- [9] S. W. Hawking & G. F. R. Ellis *The Large scale structure of spacetime*, Cambridge University Press, 1973
- [10] L. Magaard, *Zur Einbettung Riemannscher Raume in Einstein - Raume und Konfor - Euclidische Raume*, PhD thesis, (Kiel), 1963
- [11] J. R. Munkres, *Topology, a first course*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey

- [12] R. Narasimhan, *Analysis on Real and Complex Manifolds*, Masson and Cie, Paris, North Holland, 1968
- [13] R. Penrose, *Techniques of Differential Topology in Relativity*, SIAM Publ. Philadelphia, 1972
- [14] R. K. Sachs and H. Wu, *General Relativity for Mathematicians*, Springer-Verlang, 1977
- [15] Norman Steenrod, *The topology of fibre Bundles*, Princeton University Press, 1972
- [16] H. Stefani *General Relativity*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990
- [17] P. S. Wesson *Space-Time-Matter, Modern Kaluza-Klein Theory*, World Scientific, 2000
- (Articles)
- [18] E. Anderson, J. E. Lidsey, *Embeddings in NonVacuum Spacetimes*, Class.Quant.Grav. 18 (2001) 4831-4844, ArXiv: gr-qc/0106090
- [19] E. Anderson, F. Dahia, J. Lidsey, C. Romero, *Embeddings in Spacetimes Sourced by Scalar Fields*, J.Math.Phys. (2002) 44(11) 5108-5119, ArXiv: gr-qc/0111094
- [20] F. Dahia, C. Romero, *The embedding of the spacetime in five-dimensional spaces with arbitrary non-degenerate Ricci tensor*, J.Math.Phys. 43 (2002) 3097-3106, ArXiv: gr-qc/0111058
- [21] F. Dahia, C. Romero, *The embedding of spacetime in 5 dimensions: An extension of Campbell-Magaard theorem*, J.Math.Phys. 43 (2002) 5804-5814, ArXiv: gr-qc/0109076
- [22] F. Dahia, C. Romero, *On the embedding of branes in five-dimensional spaces*, Class.Quant.Grav. (2004) 21, No 4, 927-933, ArXiv: gr-qc/0308056
- [23] A. Davidson, $\Lambda = 0$ cosmology of a brane-like universe, Class. Quantum Grav. 16 (1999) 653-659

- [24] M. Gogberashvili, *Embedding of the Brane into Six Dimensions*, J.Math.Phys. 43 (2002) 4886-4888, ArXiv: gr-qc/0202061
- [25] H. Grauert, *On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real analytic manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics, 68 (47-82)
- [26] M. W. Hirsch, *On Embedding Differentiable Manifolds in Euclidean Space*, Annals of Mathematics, 73 (566-571), 1961
- [27] N. I. Katzourakis "The Global Embedding Problem of Semi- Riemannian into Einstein Manifolds", ArXiv: math-ph/0407067
- [28] D. Langlois, *Brane cosmology: an introduction*, ArXiv:hep-th/0209261
- [29] J. E. Lidsey, C. Romero, R. Tavakol, S. Rippl, *On Applications of Campbell's Embedding Theorem*, Class.Quant.Grav. 14 (1997) 865-879, ArXiv: gr-qc/9907040
- [30] J. E. Lidsey, *The Embedding of Superstring Backgrounds in Einstein Gravity*, Phys.Lett. B417 (1998) 33, ArXiv: hep-th/9907095
- [31] R. Maartens, *Brane-World Gravity*, Living Rev. Relativity, 7, (2004), 7, <http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2004-7>
- [32] J. Nash, *The embedding problem for Riemannian Manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics, 63 (1956), 20-63
- [33] M. Pavisic, *Resource Letter on Geometrical Results for Embeddings and Branes*, arXiv:gr-qc/0010045
- [34] S. S. Seahra, *Physics in Higher-Dimensional Manifolds*, <http://etd.uwaterloo.ca/etd/ssseahra2003.pdf>
- [35] S. S. Seahra, P. S. Wesson, *Application of the Campbell- Magaard theorem to higher-dimensional physics*, Class.Quant.Grav. 20 (2003) 1321-1340, ArXiv: gr-qc/0302015

- [36] T. Shiromizu, K. Koyama, *Low energy effective theory for two branes system - Covariant curvature formulation*, Phys.Rev. D67 (2003) 084022, ArXiv: hep-th/0210066
- [37] H. Whitney, *Differentiable Manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics, 37 (645-680), 1936